1

2

34

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

1516

17

18

1920

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

PAUL YAHNE,

Plaintiff,

v.

WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. C04-5661FDB

ORDER

This case has been referred to United States Magistrate Judge J. Kelley Arnold pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local MJR 3 and 4. Before the court is a motion for reconsideration which contains a request for counsel. (Dkt. # 20). The motions are **DENIED**.

As defendant's note, "the law is clear that plaintiff cannot sue state agencies in a 42 § 1983 [action]." (Dkt. # 21).

With regard to appointment of counsel; there is no right to have counsel appointed in cases brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Although the court, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d), can request counsel to represent a party proceeding *in forma pauperis*, the court may do so only in exceptional circumstances. Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986); Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221, 1236 (9th Cir. 1984); Aldabe v. Aldabe, 616 F.2d 1089 (9th Cir. 1980). A finding of exceptional circumstances requires an evaluation of both the likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims *pro se* in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Wilborn, 789 F.2d at 1331.

Plaintiff has demonstrated an adequate ability to articulate his claims *pro se*. Moreover, it appears that this case does not involve exceptional circumstances which warrant appointment of counsel.

ORDER Page - 1

Case 3:04-cv-05661-FDB Document 23 Filed 04/08/05 Page 2 of 2

Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion to Appoint Counsel is **DENIED**. The clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to plaintiff and counsel for defendants, to remove docket entries 20 from the courts calendar. DATED this 8th day of April, 2005. /s/ J. Kelley Arnold_ J. Kelley Arnold United States Magistrate Judge

Page - 2